

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,676	03/30/2004	Sun-Hyok Chang	51876P601	8314	
8791	7590 12/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	KELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN BOLDA, ERICL WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ERIC L	
SEVENTH FL			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90025-1030		3663		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/814,676		CHANG ET AL.					
Onice Action Cummary	Examiner		Art Unit					
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Eric Bolda	shoot with the c	orrespondence address					
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply 								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If the communication of the main state of the communication of the communicati								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> .								
,	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
,—	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r alaction require	mont						
are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Occurs discovered detailed Office absort for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-945)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/2004.		Notice of Informal F Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/814,676

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: words appear to be omitted in the phrase "to thereby offset gain characteristics each other". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 551(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticiapted by Chung et al. (US Pat. App. Pub. 2005/0007656).

With regard to claim 1, Chung discloses in Fig. 2 an optical fiber amplifier comprising

- A first gain block (100) with a gain medium (40) and a optical pump (30)
- A second gain block (300) with a gain medium (41) and an optical pump (34)
- . An optical fiber (50) disposed between the first and the second gain blocks
- A Raman pump (31) and (32) generating a pumping light
- . A coupling means coupling the pumping light (11) to the optical fiber

Application/Control Number: 10/814,676 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

The first gain block has a gain profile which is substantially opposite that of the optical fiber (when the optical amplifier is operated as described in paragraph [0042]), resulting in a flat spectral gain profile, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

Note that the clause "to thereby offset gain characteristics [from] each other and obtain a flat spectral gain profile" is interpreted as essentially a statements of intended or desired use. Thus, this claim does not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; <a hre

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v.</u> <u>Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

With regard to claim 2, the optical fiber is a dispersion compensating fiber.

With regard to claim 3, the amplifier further includes a gain equalizing filter (21).

With regard to claims 4 and 5, the gain media in the first and second gain blocks are rare-earth (erbium.) doped optical fibers.

Note that the citations made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant; they are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.

Information Disclosure Statement

Application/Control Number: 10/814,676 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

4. The information disclosure statement filed on March 30, 2004 has been

considered by the Examiner.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure: Wysocki et al, Hazell, Islam et al., Kung et al, Foursa et al. (US

Pat. No. 6721091), and Foursa et al. (US Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0179440).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric Bolda whose telephone number is 571-272-8104.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith, can be reached on 571-272-6878. Please note the fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

93

Fric Bolda